

32nd Sunday in Ordinary Time - B

Note: Where a Scripture text is underlined in the body of this discussion, it is recommended that the reader look up and read that passage.

1st Reading - 1 Kings 17:10-16

What we now know as 1 and 2 Kings is designated in older Catholic Bibles as 3 and 4 Kings. Likewise, what we know as 1 and 2 Samuel is called 1 and 2 Kings in the older Catholic Bibles. This is because in the Hebrew Bible a division was made between the books of Samuel and Kings while the Septuagint (Greek translation) had only one division called the “books of kingdoms”. Protestant Bibles, because they followed the Hebrew canon have always had 1 and 2 Samuel followed by 1 and 2 Kings; just as they have always been missing the 7 Old Testament books unique to the Septuagint (and Catholic Bible).

The books of 1 and 2 Kings in all modern Bibles are a compilation from various sources which record:

- 1) The last days of king David and the enthronement of Solomon (1 Kings 1 and 2).
- 2) The reign of king Solomon; his wisdom, the building of the temple, and the visit of the Queen of Sheba (1 Kings 10:1-13).
- 3) Solomon’s fall and death (1 Kings 11).
- 4) The division of the kingdom under Solomon’s son Rehoboam, and the history of the 2 kingdoms of Israel and Judah until the fall of Samaria and the extinction of the kingdom of Israel (1 Kings 12 through 2 Kings 17).
- 5) The history of the kingdom of Judah until the Babylonian captivity in 586 B.C. (2 Kings 18-25).

First and Second Kings actually form one continuous book. Hebrew tradition holds that this compilation was done by the Prophet Jeremiah (562-539 B.C.) during the Babylonian captivity. It was written for the Jews who had witnessed the catastrophe of 586 and for their children whose faith was wavering. It was intended to instruct and encourage them, to elicit from them acts of repentance for their past sins, and to renew their hopes for the future. It instructs the exiles by demonstrating that Israel, through her kings, has been unfaithful to the covenant, and that God, far from being unfaithful to his part of the covenant, has remained faithful and patient with erring Israel long after Israel’s infidelity. God’s covenant is a holy family bond which can not be annulled or retracted. The author returns repeatedly to the promise of perpetuity made to the Davidic dynasty, the Temple, and Jerusalem. It is upon the fulfillment of these promises that Israel must place her hopes for the future.

Today’s reading comes from the account of Elijah, Ahab, and the three year drought and is

a prediction-fulfillment story.

¹⁰ [In those days, Elijah the prophet] left and went to Zarephath.

Zarephath is a Sidonian town – a territory acknowledged as Baal’s, not Yahweh’s. Yet, the power of Yahweh has caused drought even there.

As he arrived at the entrance of the city, a widow was gathering sticks there; he called out to her, "Please bring me a small cupful of water to drink." ¹¹ She left to get it, and he called out after her, "Please bring along a bit of bread." ¹² "As the LORD, your God, lives," she answered, "I have nothing baked; there is only a handful of flour in my jar and a little oil in my jug. Just now I was collecting a couple of sticks, to go in and prepare something for myself and my son; when we have eaten it, we shall die." ¹³ "Do not be afraid," Elijah said to her. "Go and do as you propose. But first make me a little cake and bring it to me. Then you can prepare something for yourself and your son." ¹⁴ For the LORD, the God of Israel, says,

The promise.

'The jar of flour shall not go empty, nor the jug of oil run dry, until the day when the LORD sends rain upon the earth.'" ¹⁵ She left and did as Elijah had said. She was able to eat for a year, and he and her son as well; ¹⁶ The jar of flour did not go empty, nor the jug of oil run dry, as the LORD had foretold through Elijah.

The fulfillment. Although He caused the drought, Yahweh protects those whom He favors with miraculous food similar to manna (see Numbers 11:8).

2nd Reading - Hebrews 9:24-28

Last week we looked at Chapter 7 as background for the reading. This week we must race through chapters 8 and 9 to gain the background: ^{8:1} **The main point of what has been said is this: we have such a high priest, who has taken his seat at the right hand of the throne of the Majesty in heaven,** ² **a minister of the sanctuary and of the true tabernacle that the Lord, not man, set up** [we have a priest and a king, we have a priest-king]. ³ **Now every high priest is appointed to offer gifts and sacrifices; thus the necessity for this one also to have something to offer** [Jesus offers His glorified body on the ark of the covenant in heaven (Revelation 5:6)]. ⁴ **If then he were on earth, he would not be a priest, since there are those who offer gifts according to the law** [the Levites]. ⁵ **They worship in a copy and shadow of the heavenly sanctuary, as Moses was warned when he was about to erect the tabernacle. For he says, "See that you make everything according to the pattern shown you on the mountain** [see Ezekiel 36:26]." ⁶ **Now he has obtained so much more excellent a ministry as he is mediator of a better covenant, enacted on better promises.** ⁷ **For if that first covenant had been faultless, no place would have been sought for a second one.** ⁸ **But he finds fault with them and says:** [what follows is quoted from Jeremiah 32:31-34 (Hebrews 8:8-12); the longest Old Testament quotation in the New Testament. It is also the only Old Testament text where the new covenant is specifically

mentioned] **“Behold, the days are coming, says the Lord, when I will conclude a new covenant with the house of Israel and the house of Judah. ⁹ It will not be like the covenant I made with their fathers the day I took them by the hand to lead them forth from the land of Egypt; for they did not stand by my covenant and I ignored them, says the Lord** [this is quoted from the Greek (Septuagint). The Hebrew says “I became their master”. Recall that after the golden calf, man no longer had a father-son relationship with God but rather a master-slave relationship]. ¹⁰ **But this is the covenant I will establish with the house of Israel after those days, says the Lord: I will put my laws in their minds and I will write them upon their hearts** [see [Ezekiel 36:26](#) where stony heart refers to the 10 commandments written on stone and heart of flesh is the new covenant laws of love which are written on the heart]. **I will be their God** [their Father], **and they shall be my people** [my family]. ¹¹ **And they shall not teach, each one his fellow citizen and kinsman, saying, ‘Know the Lord,’ for all shall know me, from least to greatest. ¹²For I will forgive their evildoing and remember their sins no more.” ¹³ When he speaks of a “new” covenant, he declares the first one obsolete. And what has become obsolete and has grown old is close to disappearing. ^{9:1} Now (even) the first covenant had regulations for worship and an earthly sanctuary. ² For a tabernacle was constructed, the outer one, in which were the lampstand, the table, and the bread of offering; this is called the Holy Place. ³ Behind the second veil was the tabernacle called the Holy of Holies, ⁴ in which were the gold** [Gold signifies royalty. The gold described here is in the Holy of Holies, the divine place] **altar of incense and the ark of the covenant entirely covered with gold. In it were the gold jar containing the manna, the staff of Aaron that had sprouted, and the tablets of the covenant. ⁵ Above it were the cherubim of glory overshadowing the place of expiation. Now is not the time to speak of these in detail. ⁶ With these arrangements for worship, the priests, in performing their service, go into the outer tabernacle repeatedly, ⁷ but the high priest alone goes into the inner one once a year, not without blood that he offers for himself and for the sins of the people. ⁸ In this way the holy Spirit shows that the way into the sanctuary had not yet been revealed while the outer tabernacle still had its place** [the old covenant. It is human, natural, physical, external, bodily. Internal transformation is needed to make it golden] (which is symbolic for the present age) [A time of transition between the old and new covenants. 40 years (A.D. 30 Christ is crucified, A.D.70 the temple {old covenant} is destroyed)]. ⁹ **This is a symbol of the present time, in which gifts and sacrifices are offered that cannot perfect the worshiper in conscience** [the old covenant is not effective – this implies that the new covenant is] ¹⁰ **but only in matters of food and drink and various ritual washings: regulations concerning the flesh, imposed until the time of the new order** [external regulations which perfect the body but not the soul]. ¹¹ **But when Christ came as high priest of the good things that have come to be, passing through the greater and more perfect tabernacle not made by hands, that is, not belonging to this creation, ¹² he entered once for all into the sanctuary, not with the blood of goats and calves** [the requirement for Aaron and his sons when first ordained as high priest (Leviticus 8)] **but with his own blood, thus obtaining eternal redemption** [the old covenant gave earthly redemption]. ¹³ **For if the blood of goats and bulls and the sprinkling of a heifer’s ashes can sanctify those who are defiled so that their flesh is cleansed, ¹⁴how much more will the blood of Christ, who through the eternal spirit** [the Holy Spirit] **offered himself unblemished to God, cleanse our consciences from dead works to worship the living God** [the old covenant purified persons by sprinkling – the new covenant purifies through drinking (the Eucharist)]. ¹⁵ **For this reason he is mediator of a new covenant: since a death has taken place for deliverance from transgressions under the first covenant,**

those who are called may receive the promised eternal inheritance. ¹⁶ Now where there is a will, the death of the testator must be established. ¹⁷ For a will takes effect only at death; it has no force while the testator is alive [the Greek word for “will”, “testament”, and “covenant” is the same. A more proper translation is “covenant” in all cases. {Rereading using “covenant:” “¹⁵ For this reason he is mediator of a new covenant: since a death has taken place for deliverance from transgressions under the first covenant, those who are called may receive the promised eternal inheritance. ¹⁶ Now where there is a covenant, the death of the testator must be established. ¹⁷ For a covenant takes effect only at death; it has no force while the testator is alive”}]. ¹⁸ **Thus not even the first covenant was inaugurated without blood.** ¹⁹ **When every commandment had been proclaimed by Moses to all the people according to the law, he took the blood of calves (and goats), together with water and crimson wool and hyssop, and sprinkled both the book itself and all the people,** ²⁰ saying, “This is ‘the blood of the covenant which God has enjoined upon you.’” ²¹ **In the same way, he sprinkled also the tabernacle and all the vessels of worship with blood.** ²² **According to the law almost everything is purified by blood, and without the shedding of blood there is no forgiveness.** ²³ **Therefore, it was necessary for the copies of the heavenly things to be purified by these rites, but the heavenly things themselves by better sacrifices than these.**

²⁴ **For Christ did not enter into a sanctuary made by hands, a copy of the true one, but heaven itself, that he might now appear before God on our behalf.**

See Hebrews 7:25, Romans 8:3; Revelation 5:6.

²⁵ **Not that he might offer himself repeatedly, as the high priest enters each year into the sanctuary with blood that is not his own;** ²⁶ **if that were so, he would have had to suffer repeatedly from the foundation of the world. But now once for all he has appeared at the end of the ages to take away sin by his sacrifice.**

The sacrifice of Jesus the Christ is offered once, for all persons and for all time. The Holy of Holies no longer needs repeated consecration because Jesus continues to make His one offering there. The author rejects the notion of repeated sacrifices of Jesus, not the eternal continuance of His one sacrifice as is evidenced by the statement “at the end of the ages” – His sacrifice is offered eternally.

²⁷ **Just as it is appointed that human beings die once,**

The result of the fall of Adam and Eve.

and after this the judgment,

The particular judgement takes place immediately after death.

²⁸ **so also Christ, offered once to take away the sins of many,**

See Isaiah 53:12. This does not mean that He took on the sins of others, this would make Him a scapegoat. Instead, it means that He became the eternal sin offering that consecrated the Holy of

Holies and made it possible for heaven to be opened and others to approach God to have their individual sins forgiven. We bear the responsibility for our own sins. We can commune directly with God, just as Adam and Eve could before the fall, just as the Israelites could before the golden calf. He has borne the curse which was imposed upon mankind because of their disobedience of the covenant. God has restored the conditions which had existed before the golden calf, and He has given us assurance that our sins have been forgiven through the priest (whom He has appointed to act in His Name to forgive sins).

will appear a second time, not to take away sin but to bring salvation to those who eagerly await him.

Glory, eternal life, to those who have placed their hope in Him.

Gospel - Mark 12:38-44

Last week's reading, as you will recall, took place in Jerusalem after Jesus' triumphal entry and before the beginning of His passion. At that time He told them when asked what was the greatest of the commandments "The first is, 'Hear O Israel: The Lord our God, the Lord is one; and you shall love the Lord your God with all your heart, and with all your soul, and with all your mind, and with all your strength.'"

These are the opening words of the Shema, which the devout Jew recited (and still today prays) every day. Jesus then volunteered the second in importance: "You shall love your neighbor as yourself." Jesus' response surprised His listeners because the Pharisees had counted God's commandments and these two were not among the 613.

As a way of introduction to today's reading we will do a quick study of the three verses which join last week's reading to this one:

As Jesus was teaching in the temple area he said, "How do the scribes claim that the Messiah is the son of David?"

God's Messiah was commonly identified with a descendent of David and therefore a legitimate pretender to the throne of Israel (see 2 Samuel 7:11b-16). Jesus appears to challenge this view in the next two verses.

David himself, inspired by the holy Spirit, said: "The Lord said to my lord, "Sit at my right hand until I place your enemies under your feet.""

Jesus quotes Psalm 110:1, a psalm quoted often in the New Testament by the evangelists to show Jesus' prefigurement in the Old Testament. By ascribing the psalm to David, Jesus supports the traditionally accepted view that David was the author of the Psalms. Jesus further states that David wrote under the inspiration of the Holy Spirit.

David himself calls him 'lord'; so how is he his son?" (The) great crowd heard this with

delight.

Assuming that David is the speaker in Psalm 110, he must be talking about someone other than himself. The first "Lord" is God, the second "my lord" must be someone different from and superior to David (the Messiah). Therefore, the Messiah is not adequately and fully described simply as "the Son of David."

³⁸ In the course of his teaching he said, "Beware of the scribes, who like to go around in long robes and accept greetings in the marketplaces,

The scribes were the interpreters of the Law, sort of like lawyers today. The robes were not necessarily prayer shawls but it is clear that these scribes (not necessarily all scribes) were putting themselves on public display with garments designed to enhance their prestige and honor.

³⁹ seats of honor in synagogues,

Sit facing the people in front of the ark containing the biblical scrolls.

and places of honor at banquets. ⁴⁰ They devour the houses of widows and, as a pretext, recite lengthy prayers.

Lawyers in antiquity could serve as trustees of a widow's estate. A common way of receiving their fee was to get a share of the estate. Those lawyers with a reputation for piety had a good chance to be selected as a trustee.

They will receive a very severe condemnation."

Because of their greed and hypocrisy, they will receive a stiff condemnation at the last judgement; just as it is said today that priests and teachers will receive closer scrutiny when they appear before the Lord.

⁴¹ He sat down opposite the treasury and observed how the crowd put money into the treasury.

He is sitting in judgement. Around the women's court walls were thirteen trumpet-shaped chests for offerings.

Many rich people put in large sums. ⁴² A poor widow also came and put in two small coins worth a few cents.

The small copper coins were the smallest denomination in circulation.

⁴³ Calling his disciples to himself, he said to them, "Amen, I say to you, this poor widow put in more than all the other contributors to the treasury.

This verse requires the explanation of the following verse. It is not obvious why she contributed

“more” (cents) than the others (dollars).

44 For they have all contributed from their surplus wealth, but she, from her poverty, has contributed all she had, her whole livelihood.”

The explanation of the paradox of the previous verse is that the widow made a real sacrifice while the rest just gave some of their excess.

“Do not despair. One cannot buy heavenly things with money. . . . If money could purchase such things, then the woman who deposited the two small copper coins would have received nothing very large. But since it was not money but rather her intention that prevailed, that woman received everything because she demonstrated firm conviction” [Saint John Chrysostom (between A.D. 398-404). *Homilies On The Epistle To The Philippians*].

“The poor widow cast only two pennies into the treasury; yet because she gave all she had it is said of her that she surpassed all the rich in offering gifts to God. Such gifts are valued not by their weight but by the good will with which they are made” [Saint Jerome (ca. A.D. 406), *Letter to Julian*, No. 118, 5].